

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,920	02/27/2002	Alan B. Nierenberg	124736-1040	4885
32294 7	590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. CIRIC, LJILJANA V			LJANA V	
14TH FLOOR				
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			3753	,

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0 4			
Advisory Action	10/083,920	NIERENBERG, ALA	N B.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeteramination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	efee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>						
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or t rould be rejected is provided bel	o)∭ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.			•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-7.			•			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	•			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<del></del> '				
10. Other:	C	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciri Primary Examiner	ic			
		Art Unit: 3753				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/083,920

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to base claim 1 would change the scope of the claims, thus necessitating further consideration and/or search. Also, the proposed amendment appears to introduce problems under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs. For example, the originally filed specification fails to disclose specifically how the at least one heat exchanger is to be configured to heat the intermediate fluid. Furthermore, the originally filed disclosure appears to suggest that the at least one heat exchanger only heats the intermediate fluid when the at least one heat exchanger is at least partially submerged in water which is at a lower temperature than the intermediate fluid; thus, when the LNG carrier is travelling or docked in relatively colder water, the at least one heat exchanger would cool heat the intermediate fluid.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are based on an overly narrow interpretation of both the prior art and of the rejected claims in the instant application. Additionally, applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they are largely based on intended use limitations and on limitations not recited in the rejected claims, such as "the intermediate fluid is a fluid other than sea water which is used in the regasification process".

